Case 3:10-cr-00227-CRB Document 8 Filed 04/20/10 Page 1 of 2

Case3:10-cr-00227-CRB Document7 Filed04/16/10 Page1 of 2

JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332) United States Attorney

BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973)

FILED

Chief, Criminal Division

RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TRACIE L. BROWN (184339) Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Ave., Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7200

Fax: (415) 436-7234

E-Mail: tracie.brown@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff

9

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

ADRIAN SUBERCASEAUX,

Defendant.

No. CR 10-0227 CRB

PROPOSED ORDER EXCLUDING
TIME FROM APRIL 13, 2010 TO MAY
19, 2010

The defendant, GREGORY REVELLI, represented by Edward Swanson (August Gugelman specially appearing for Mr. Swanson), and the government, represented by Tracie L. Brown, Assistant United States Attorney, appeared before the Court on April 13, 2010 for initial appearance and arraignment. The parties represented that the government had not yet provided discovery that the defense will need to review before the initial District Court appearance. The parties further represented that the next available date on which counsel are both available is May 19, 2010. The parties thus requested a continuance of the matter to that date.

The matter was continued to May 19, 2010 at 2:15 p.m. before the Honorable Charles R.

ORDER EXCLUDING TIME Case No. CR 10-0227 CRB

Case 3:10-cr-00227-CRB Document 8 Filed 04/20/10 Page 2 of 2

Case3:10-cr-00227-CRB Document7 Filed04/16/10 Page2 of 2

Breyer for further proceedings. Counsel requested that time be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act between April 13, 2010 and May 19, 2010 in light of counsel's respective schedules and the need to produce and review the discovery.

Based upon the representation of counsel and for good cause shown, the Court finds that failing to exclude the time between April 13, 2010 and May 19, 2010 would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel and would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The Court further finds that the ends of justice served by excluding the time between April 13, 2010 and May 19, 2010 from computation under the Speedy Trial Act outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time between April 13, 2010 and May 19, 2010 shall be excluded from computation under the Speedy Trial Act. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv).

DATED: 20 April 2810

THE HON. BERNARD ZIMMERMAN United States Magistrate Judge